RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM



Fakultät für Philologie Sprachwissenschaftliches Institut

ADAPTING SIMPLENLG TO GERMAN

Marcel Bollmann bollmann@linguistics.rub.de

Overview of the System

- Surface realisation engine for German, written in Java
- Based on version 3.8 of SimpleNLG for English (Gatt and Reiter, 2009) http://code.google.com/p/simplenlg
 - A port for the new architecture of version 4.x is planned
- <u>Input specification:</u> lexical items, phrase specifications, grammatical features (e.g. verb tense, order of complements); alternatively: canned text
- Tasks performed by SimpleNLG for German: ensuring correct orthography and grammatical well-formedness, including correct inflection, agreement, and word order (e.g. verb-second property)

Dealing with Word Order Variation

■ Word order in German declarative sentences is restricted by verb-second property, but otherwise flexible:

Dem Mann gibt Maria ein Buch. Ein Buch gibt Maria dem Mann.

'Maria gives a book to the man.'

- Word order should be controllable by the user
 - "Default" word order depends on semantic and syntactic factors and is therefore hard to always determine automatically
- Variation in word order can be used for emphasis
- Argument realisation in SimpleNLG for English:
 - Subject at sentence level, complements at verb phrase level
 - Verb phrase realised as a single unit, i.e. movement of subject between two VP elements not possible without technical modifications
- Argument realisation in SimpleNLG for German:
 - All arguments, including the subject, realised in the verb phrase
 - Enables free ordering of arguments; also facilitates the implementation of passive constructions (e.g. raising objects to subject status)
 - Arguments for VP-internal subject position in, e.g., Haider (1993, p. 142 ff.)

Basic Example

- As German is highly inflected, the use of a lexicon is mandatory; for testing and evaluation purposes, an adaption of IMSLex (Fitschen, 2004) has been used
- Assuming the variable lex has been initialized with a lexicon object, a basic sentence could be instantiated like this:

NPPhraseSpec mann = lex.makeNP("der", "Mann"); NPPhraseSpec buch = lex.makeNP("ein", "Buch"); SPhraseSpec s = lex.makeS("Maria", "geben", buch); s.addIndirectObject(mann);

→ Maria gibt dem Mann ein Buch.

'Maria gives a book to the man.'

- Two-layered system: "word order" for complements, "position" for modifiers
- "Word order" feature of the verb phrase controls the order of complements
 - Can be any permutation of subject (S), direct object (O), indirect object (I); genitive objects (which are relatively rare) are treated as direct objects
 - If not explicitly set, default word order is SIO

s.setWordOrder(ISO);

Relative Clauses

→ Dem Mann gibt Maria ein Buch.

'Maria gives a book to the man.'

'the book which I have bought'

'the woman of whom I am proud'

'the woman whose child is beautiful'

- "Position" feature controls the placement of modifiers
 - Position of a modifier can be specified as either absolute (front, back) or relative to a complement (e.g., pre-subject or post-subject)
 - Multiple elements in the same position slot are realised in the order in which they were added to the sentence
 - Placement specification is obeyed even if complement word order changes

s.addModifier(PRE_OBJECT, "heute");

they allow specification of either:

das Buch, <u>das</u> ich gekauft habe

die Frau, <u>auf die</u> ich stolz bin

die Frau, <u>deren Kind</u> schön ist

a preposition which should govern the RP

- 'Today, Maria gives a book to the man.' → Dem Mann gibt Maria heute ein Buch.
- The first constituent according to this ordering scheme is realised in the vorfeld position; all other constituents are realised in the mittelfeld

■ Relative pronouns in German agree with their antecedent in gender and number,

but inflect for case based on their function in the relative clause

the grammatical function of the RP within the RC

this can be used to generate extraposed relative clauses

Utility functions have been added to facilitate relative clause creation;

a noun phrase which the RP should specify, indicating possession.

Alternatively, sentence objects representing RCs can be constructed manually;

Morphology

- Inflection is encapsulated in separate objects (inflection patterns) that largely resemble inflection classes from traditional grammars
- Inflection patterns consist of a set of suffixes that are appended to a stem and, optionally, a set of features

	Nom.	Acc.	DAT.	GEN.
Masc. Sg.	_	-en	-em	-es
FEM. SG.	-е	-е	-er	-er
NEUT. SG.	_	_	-em	-es
PLURAL	-е	-е	-en	-er

An inflection pattern for possessive pronouns

■ Features are used for, e.g., triggering plural umlaut or 'e' elision in word stems:

'books' Buch 'book' → Bücher 'to collect' \rightarrow *ich sammle* 'I collect' sammeln

- New patterns can be defined by the user to enable, e.g., foreign inflectional paradigms in loanwords or (colloquial) inflectional variants, if desired
- Separable verbs can be instantiated by marking the boundary with a vertical bar; only the verb stem (following the bar) needs to be listed in the lexicon

SPhraseSpec s2 = lex.makeS("Maria", "auf|heben", buch);

→ Maria hebt ein Buch auf.

'Maria picks up a book.'

Compound nouns can be instantiated in the same way; e.g. "Heimat|stadt"

Evaluation and Future Work

- It is not obvious how a realisation engine can be systematically evaluated
- A quick, non-representative survey using Wikipedia articles suggested that 115 of 152 sentences (75.7%) were covered by the system's grammar
- Grammatical constructions which are not yet (fully) supported:
 - coordinated phrases (especially coordinated verb phrases)
 - verb cluster fronting

Gesehen hatte er sie nicht.

'He had not seen her.'

semi-modal verbs requiring an infinitive with 'zu'

Er scheint sie zu kennen.

'He seems to know her.'

- Negation is currently confined to the insertion of the negation particle 'nicht' at a fixed position; could possibly be refined
- Using canned text for proper nouns is problematic, as specifying gender/number information is often required for agreement purposes; this impedes the intended "simplicity of use" to a certain extent

Availability

■ The full Java package of SimpleNLG for German will be made available online after it has been prepared for release

Modal Verbs

Stuttgart.

Verb phrase objects can take any number of modal verbs:

Sie sollte es sehen können müssen.

'She should be able to see it.'

■ In modal constructions, the perfect tense setting affects the finite modal verb:

s3.setPerfect(true);

 \rightarrow Sie hat es tun können.

'She was able to do it.'

Alternatively, a separate feature can be used to set the main verb to perfect:

s3.setMainVerbPerfect(true);

 \rightarrow Sie kann es getan haben.

'She might have done it.'

■ Albert Gatt and Ehud Reiter. 2009. SimpleNLG: a realisation engine for practical applications. In *Proceedings of*

■ Arne Fitschen. 2004. Ein Computerlinguistisches Lexikon als komplexes System. Doctoral dissertation, University of

the 12th European Workshop on Natural Language Generation (ENLG 2009), pages 90–93.

Hubert Haider. 1993. Deutsche Syntax – generativ: Vorstudien zur Theorie einer projektiven Grammatik.

Narr, Tübingen.